



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,320	12/29/2000	Andrew Rouse	23452-129	6988

909 7590 10/19/2005

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP
P.O. BOX 10500
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

PAN, YUWEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2682

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/750,320	Applicant(s) ROUSE ET AL.	
	Examiner Yuwen Pan	Art Unit 2682	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21,22,24-30,32-39 and 41-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21,22,24-30,32-39 and 41-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/5/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that prior art of record doesn't executing a selected application on at least one server and formatting at least one application output associated with the at least one selected application action based on a profile of the wireless client and a user selection of one or more fields associated with a selected file. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Gilhuly teaches that a user could configure the redirector program to push certain user-selected data including E-mail, calendar events, etc., to the user's mobile data communication device (see column 5 and line 44-63). Furthermore, Gilhuly teaches formatting at least one application based on a profile of the wireless communication device and user's selection (see column 2 and line 40-column 3 and line 13). Therefore, the previous rejection stands.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 21, 22, 24-30, 32-36, 37-39, and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilhuly et al (US006701378B1) in view of Kobayashi (US006633759B1).

Per claims 21, 28, 33 and 38, Gilhuly discloses that a method for enabling a wireless client device to communicate with at least one server having one or more applications residing thereon, the method (see figures 6-8) comprising the steps of: enabling the wireless client device to select an application residing on the at least one server; enabling the wireless client device to select at least one application action associated with the selected application residing on the at least one server; executing the at least one selected application action on the at least one server (see column 2 and lines 25-39); formatting at least one application output associated with the at least one selected application action based on a profile of the wireless client device; and transmitting the formatted at least one application output to the wireless client device and a user selection of one or more fields associated with the at least one file (see column 2 and lines 34-51, column 3 and lines 3-13).

Gilhuly doesn't teach that the application action comprising at least one of opening at least one file within the server, closing at least one file with the server, editing at least one file within the server, and searching at least one file within the server. Kobayashi teach that a unit for, via a wireless link, sending a signal to the external device to start software installed therein (see figure 7, column 2 and lines 21-30).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kobayashi with Gilhuly's system such that a user would be able to distantly control an application within a remote server without physically being there.

Per claims 22, 32, 37, Gilhuly further teaches that the user would be able to select at least one application (see column 5 and lines 48-53).

Per claims 24 and 41, Gilhuly further teach that the profile of the wireless client device comprises at least one of a feature of the wireless client device and a command associated with previously selected application action (see column 5 and lines 48-53).

Per claims 25, 42, Gilhuly further teaches that the feature of the wireless client device comprises at least one of an input interface, a display, and a data processing feature (see column 3 and lines 14-32).

Per claim 26, 43, Gilhuly further teaches that formatting the at least one application output comprises at least one of removing an object or artifact contained in the at least one application output, and altering the object or artifact contained in the at least one application output to reduce an amount of information that the object or artifact contains (see column 6 and lines 36-48).

Per claim 27, 44, Gilhuly further teaches that the wireless client device comprises at least one of a data-capable wireless phone, an interactive pager, or a personal digital assistant (see figure 6 and item 220, column 5 and lines 19-35).

Per claims 29, 30, 34, 35, 39, Gilhuly further teaches that a customization module that enables the at least one wireless client device to customize at least one view of the at least one application output wherein inherently the customization module further enables the at least one

Art Unit: 2682

wireless client device to customize at least one of a display language, a time zone, a date format, and a font format.(see column 5 and lines 44-63).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quochien Vuong can be reached on 571-272-7902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Yuwen Pan
October 11, 2005

 10/17/05
QUOCHIEN B. VUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER